

Message

From: Berkoff, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F347C01A92D7424FA840C24F6B7FBF6B-MBERKOFF]
Sent: 5/3/2016 6:54:21 PM
To: Frey, Rebecca [frey.rebecca@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: ROD review by HQ

I will proceed diplomatically with great persistence.
Trying to knock out some work while in the reuse session.

From: Frey, Rebecca
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 1:53 PM
To: Berkoff, Michael <berkoff.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ROD review by HQ

Yes, that's about the same thing he was saying last summer when I was acting for Tom and attended the National BC Meeting. HQs needs to finalize the document that puts these procedures in place, or if they want these procedures in effect now, prior to the document being finalized, they need to get their staff (i.e., Sun) on board!

From: Berkoff, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 1:25 PM
To: Frey, Rebecca <frey.rebecca@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ROD review by HQ

Specifically, Woolford said that the plan is for HQ to review about 20% and for their role to be a sounding board or a place to go for help and ideas.

From: Frey, Rebecca
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 11:40 AM
To: Berkoff, Michael <berkoff.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ROD review by HQ

The document that describes the "new" agreement between HQ and the Region is still DRAFT and has not yet been finalized by HQ. I'm hearing from other RPMs that Sun Yi has stated that she's operating under the "old" rules b/c the new document has not been finalized, and that she is insisting on reviewing ALL decision documents (P.Plans, RODs, ESDs, etc), no matter how simple or straightforward. Have you had a discussion with Sun Yi? You could try to push back with her and see where you get. Since you have to send the ROD to the State anyway (and they'll likely take their full 30 days, won't they?), you could also send it to HQ as long as they get you any comments within the requested deadline.

In the meantime, I'll raise this issue at our Wed morning Chiefs meeting and see if Joan wants to engage with HQ to push back in general (not just for Allied).

-Becky

From: Berkoff, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 8:27 AM
To: Frey, Rebecca <frey.rebecca@epa.gov>
Subject: ROD review by HQ

Based upon Woolford is saying now: RODs from PPs in god shape should not need HQ review, The Allied ROD should not be reviewed by HQ. Based upon their review of the PP, it isn't necessary. I think that we should push back on this. Do you? Do you really see them adding value on this ROD?

Michael Berkoff
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA Region 5
Superfund Division
Remedial Response Section #3
Phone:(312) 353-8983
Fax:(312) 582-5160